Appendix C: Correspondences

- UWMP Notice of Preparation, March 10, 2016
- Growth Projection Letter to Cities and Counties
- UWMP Public Draft Comments

Appendix C: Correspondences

• UWMP Notice of Preparation, March 10, 2016



CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE 1720 North First Street

San Jose, CA 95112-4598 Tel: (408) 367-8200

March 10, 2016

[Name_F] [Name_L] [Organization] [Address] [City], CA [ZipCode]

Dear [Title] [Name_L]:

California Water Service (Cal Water) is committed to providing safe, reliable, and high-quality water utility service in our Bakersfield service area. At Cal Water, one of our top priorities is ensuring that our customers have a sustainable supply of water for decades to come.

With that in mind, we wanted to take this opportunity to let you know that we are updating our Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) for this service area. This UWMP is reviewed and updated every five years pursuant to the Urban Water Management Plan Act, and will be completed by July 1, 2016. Our UWMP is a foundational document that supports our long-term water resource planning to ensure our customers have adequate water supplies to meet current and future demands.

Proposed revisions to our 2010 UWMP will be made available for public review, and we will be holding a public hearing, during which the updates for the 2015 UWMP will be discussed. The draft 2015 UWMP and the date, time and location of the public hearing will be available on our web site in a few weeks at www.calwater.com/conservation/uwmp. A hard copy of the draft UWMP will also be available at our Bakersfield Customer Center located at 3725 South H Street, Bakersfield, CA 93304.

If you have any questions about the UWMP for this service area, please contact Michael Bolzowski, Cal Water Senior Engineer, at (408) 367-8338 or e-mail Planninginfo@calwater.com.

Sincerely,

- hoghen

Scott Wagner Director of Capital Planning & Water Resources

Council Member Weir Council Member City of Bakersfield 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 ken@weircpa.com

Nick Fidler Public Works Director City of Bakersfield Public Works Department 1501 Truxtun Avenue, 2nd Floor Bakersfield, CA 93313 nfidler@bakersfieldcity.us

Martin Ortiz Advanced Planning City of Bakersfield, Planning Division 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 mortiz@bakersfieldcity.us

Supervisor Couch Supervisor Kern County 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 district4@co.kern.ca.us

Supervisor Maggard Supervisor Kern County 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 district3@co.kern.ca.us

Supervisor Scrivner Supervisor Kern County 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 district2@co.kern.ca.us

Lorelei Oviatt Planning Director Kern County, Planning Department 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 LoreleiO@co.kern.ca.us Mayor Hall Mayor City of Bakersfield 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 hallh@hallamb.com

Ralph Braboy Wastewater Manager City of Bakersfield Public Works Department 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 rbraboy@bakersfieldcity.us

Jason Meadors Water Resources Director City of Bakersfield, Water Resources Department 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 jmeadors@bakersfieldcity.us Supervisor Gleason Supervisor Kern County 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 district1@co.kern.ca.us

Supervisor Perez Supervisor Kern County 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 district5@co.kern.ca.us

Dave Beard Improvement District No. 4 Manager Kern County Water Agency 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 dbeard@kcwa.com

L. Mark Mulkay General Manager Kern Delta Water District 3725 South H Street Bakersfield, CA 93304 mulkay@kerndelta.org

Appendix C: Correspondences

• Growth Projection Letter to Cities and Counties

Blanusa, Danilo

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	Blanusa, Danilo Thursday, August 20, 2015 3:53 I 'Jason Meadors (jmeadors@bake Salzano, Tom; Bolzowski, Michae Cal Water Urban Water Manager Bakersfield District	PM ersfieldcity.us)'; 'Martin Ortiz (mortiz@bakersfieldcity.us)' el R.; Keck, Jonathan; Valles, Rudy; Kelly, Tamara nent Plan (UWMP) growth forecast for your review -			
Attachments:	Letter to City Planning Officials -	Attachmet - BK.pdf			
Tracking:	Recipient	Delivery			
	'Jason Meadors (jmeadors@bakersfieldc	ty.us)'			
	'Martin Ortiz (mortiz@bakersfieldcity.us)'				
	Salzano, Tom	Delivered: 8/20/2015 3:53 PM			
	Bolzowski, Michael R.	Delivered: 8/20/2015 3:53 PM			
	Keck, Jonathan	Delivered: 8/20/2015 3:53 PM			
	Valles, Rudy	Delivered: 8/20/2015 3:53 PM			
	Kelly, Tamara	Delivered: 8/20/2015 3:53 PM			

Dear Mr. Meadors and Mr. Ortiz,

Pursuant to California Water Code, Division 6, Part 2.6, Sections 10610 through 10656, California Water Service is in the process of preparing the required 2015 update of our Urban Water Management Plans. These plans are required to be updated every five (5) years for each of our services areas (Districts). As you know our Bakersfield District provides water service to the City of Bakersfield.

The purpose of this communication is to solicit your assistance in reviewing and advising us with respect to one of the key elements of the plan, which is the development of a growth forecast for our district. This growth forecast is conducted based on growth in each customer service classification applicable to a particular district, which typically include:

- Single family residential
- Multi-family residential
- Commercial
- Industrial
- Government (City or County parks, median strips, landscaping and schools)
- Dedicated Irrigation (rare)
- Other (temporary construction meters)

The forecasted growth rates are combined with a demand per service factor applicable to each customer class to determine the future water demands for the district. These growth factors are adjustable and we want to review them with you so that we are consistent with anticipated growth that your planning efforts forecast. If adjustments are necessary we can do them now and avoid conflicts and confusion later in this process.

Some specific information regarding our approach to forecasting customer service growth is detailed as follows:

• **Residential** – Typically two residential customer service categories represent the vast majority of the service counts as well as subsequent water sales or demand in our districts. Cal Water considers both single family and multi-family residential services independently as individual classes, but combines them together in order to assess population growth and housing unit growth. While we use historical trends in the establishment for the growth rates for these two customer classes, we also analyze census data for population and housing factors and compare our forecast results for these two parameters with

available data from City General Plans, as well as County Economic Forecast data and Regional government association forecasts as a reality or appropriateness check of our results.

- **Commercial & Industrial** Historical trend is a key influence in this customer class, however where we have seen negative trends in recent years for these categories due to the economic downturn, we typically employ either a zero rate of growth or a small, reasonable positive rate of growth. We have also undertaken during the last ten years some reassessment of customer service classifications that has resulted in reallocation of some customer service accounts between various classes. This reallocation, which included commercial, industrial, multi-family residential and in some cases government services, has made the analysis of growth a bit more difficult.
- **Government** Growth trends are generally parallel to that of the residential sector, so we verify that our rate of grow is not dramatically out-of-sequence with the overall community.
- **Other** The use of temporary-assigned construction meters varies considerably from year to year, and can represent considerable water demand. In this case, we select a growth rate that is stable, yet reflects the overall growth of the community.

We have included with this communication a set of tables and graphs (see attachment) that illustrate the parameters that influence the growth forecast as currently set up for this district. These include:

- A. The historical and projected service data in both graph and table form
- B. The 2000 and 2010 Census data for the districts service area
- C. Housing projection chart comparing Cal Water's forecast (always in red) with those from other organizations
- D. Population projection chart comparing Cal Water's forecast (always in red) with those from other organizations
- E. Table of population and housing values along with multi-family residential unit density and persons per housing unit density that are employed in this forecast effort.

Please note that the 2015 data, which we need to include in our finished forecast, is not yet final, and some minor fluctuation of these values is possible.

Please examine these documents to determine if you concur with our forecasted housing and population numbers. It would be greatly appreciated if you could, by **September 11, 2015**, provide us with an indication of your support or in the case you do not agree with our forecast a reason why and the appropriate rate or growth pattern that we should employ. If I do not hear back from you by the end of business (EOB) on the above date I will assume that you concur with our forecast.

If you need a more detailed explanation of these numbers or want to review them with us please feel free to contact me at (408) 367-8340 or by email at <u>tsalzano@calwater.com</u>.

Thank you for your assistance in this effort.

Respectfully,

Thomas a. Salzano

Thomas A. Salzano Water Resource Planning Supervisor

Danilo Blanusa, P.E. **Senior Engineer CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE**

408-367-8387





BK PAWS 2014

TOT Cht

Attachment A (Sheet 2 of 2)

Serv Proj

Worksheet 8

e Company - Bakersfield District	Analysis and Projections
California Water Service	Water Supply and Demand A

		-1					2	
	204(78,89	1,58	7,06(3(913	70	88,55
	2035	75,025	1,496	6,905	30	865	76	84,397
ervices	2030	71,348	1,415	6,754	30	820	76	80,443
Projected S	2025	67,852	1,339	6,606	30	LTT LTT	76	76,679
	2020	64,527	1,267	6,461	30	736	76	73,097
	2015	61,364	1,198	6,320	30	698	76	69,686
000 V00	5ase rear 2015	61,364	1,198	6,320	30	698	76	69,686
ervices	2010	58,357	1,089	6,229	32	661	56	66,424
Actual S	2005	54,563	096	6,047	36	573	93	62,271
	2000	49,160	448	6,443	40	378	56	56,525
Growth	Rate	1.01%	1.12%	0.44%	0.00%	1.08%	0.00%	0.96%
Colortod Twond	nual i rend	5 Yr. Avg.	20 Yr. Avg.	10 Yr. Avg.	Zero Growth Rate	5 Yr. Avg.	Zero Growth Rate	rowth rate 2012-2040
		SFR_B	MFR_E	COM_C	IND_A	GOV_B	A_HTO	Average g
Customer	Lategory	SFR	MFR	COM	IND	GOV	OTH	TOTAL

Serv Proj

California Water Service Company - Bakersfield District Water Supply and Demand Analysis and Projections **MarPlot Summary**



oystem	Blocks	ropuation		Densuy	Blocks	ropmanon		Density	Population Change	Change	Change
									Cliange	CHAILGE	
Bakersfield					2,651	243,138	80,892	3.01			
North Garden					250	24,117	8,945	2.70			
District	2,699	220,851	76,022	2.91	2,901	267,255	89,837	2.97	121.0%	118.2%	102.4%
City of Bakersfie	ple				3,318	330,483	115,715	2.86			
Percent of City se	ervice by Ca	I Water			87.4%	80.9%	77.6%				

Π

Population

Density

Units

Population

Density

Population Units

System

MARPLOT disclaimer: The population and housing number given above are only rough estimates. They are based on the US Census Blocks. Although Census Blocks are polygons, MARPLOT uses the centroid, or center point, rather than the entire polygon. If a Census Block centroid is within any of the MARPLOT selected objects, the population and housing numbers for that block are tallied, even if only part of the block is within the selected object. It is possible for a block not be counted if its centroid is not within selected objects, even thought part of the block is within the selected object. It is possible for a block not be counted if its centroid is not within selected objects, even thought part of the block is within the selected object.





tauoD soivyskiete Count

HOU



Population Projections

POP

POP

California Water Service Company - Bakersfield District Water Supply and Demand Analysis and Projections **Population Estimate**

US Census

Year Population Housing Units Lustrices Residential Residential 2000 20.0851 76.022 2.905 2.4% 11.9,6% 1.019 21.019 31.3 2010 267.255 89,837 2.975 2.8,480 1.089 31. 2010 267.255 89,837 2.975 2.8,480 1.089 31. 2010 267.255 89,837 2.975 2.8,480 1.089 31. 2010 267.255 89,837 2.975 2.8,480 1.039 31. Residential Services<(DU) Services<(DU) Density Services (DU) Density Services<(DU) Density Services<(DU) Density Services 73 73 1995 9.400 446 26.782 60.0 37.373 73 73 1997 10.11 847 448 26.907 60.0 37.373 73 2001 13.847 448 26.907 60.0 36.258 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>US C</th> <th>ensus</th> <th>Darcone nar</th> <th>Single Family</th> <th>Mu</th> <th>ılti Family Reside</th> <th>ntial</th> <th>Flat Rate</th> <th></th>			US C	ensus	Darcone nar	Single Family	Mu	ılti Family Reside	ntial	Flat Rate	
Year Population Housing Units Number of the services Unit Residential T T Single Family Services Residential Services Nulti Family Residential T					United a United The United	Residential		Residential	Unit	Residential	
2000 220,851 76,022 2.905 12.972 448 2.97 2.438 2.97 2.975 2.448 2.96 2.10% 2.13% 2		Year	Population	Housing Units	HOUSING UNIT	Services (DU)	Services	Units (DU)	Density	Services (DU)	
2010 267.255 89,837 2.975 28,480 1.089 31 Zil0% 18,2% 2.4% 119,6% 143,1% 17 Xear Single Family Multi Family Residential Flat Rate T Year Services 0.01 Davity Residential R		2000	220,851	76,022	2.905	12,972	448	26,862	60.0	36,188	
Andia Link 119,6% 13,1% 17 21.0% 18,2% 2,4% 119,6% 143,1% 17 Residential Services Multi Family Residential Units (DU) Density Residential Residenti		2010	267,255	89,837	2.975	28,480	1,089	31,480	28.9	29,877	
Andress Multi Family Residential Flat Rate T Year Single Family Multi Family Residential Units (DU) Density Services (DU) Dwelli 1995 8.784 458 27,457 60.0 37,373 73 1997 1001 Services (DU) Density Services (DU) Dwelli 1997 11,011 A46 26,922 60.0 37,373 73 1997 11,011 448 26,907 60.0 36,538 76 2000 12,972 446 26,782 60.0 36,538 76 2001 13,847 446 26,972 60.0 36,538 76 2002 15,033 448 26,977 60.0 36,538 76 2003 15,347 446 26,732 60.0 36,538 76 2004 18,737 970 28,430 18,13 36,188 76 2003 20,144 931 30,053			21.0%	18.2%	2.4%	119.6%	143.1%	17.2%	-51.8%	-17.4%	
Angle Family Kesidential Multi Family Kesidential Residential Multi Family Kesidential Units (0.0) Fait Kate 37,373 Fait Kate 87,457 Fait Kate 60.0 Tait Kate 37,373 Tait Kate 73,7720 Tait Kate 73,7720 Tait Kate 73,7720 Tait Kate 73,7720 Tait Kate 73,773 Tait Kate 74,44	-		: ;	,	:		ţ	ł		, ,	
American Year Residential Services (DU) Services Density Residential Services (DU) Residential Density Residential Services (DU) Residential Services (Services (DU) Residentices (DU)			Single Family	Mult	i Family Reside	ntial	Flat Rate	Total	Persons ner	Estimated	
Year Services (DU) Units (DU) Density Services (DU) Dwelli 1995 8.784 458 27,457 60.0 37,720 73 1996 9,400 446 25,782 60.0 36,937 74 1997 10,288 446 26,907 60.0 36,538 74 1997 11,011 448 26,907 60.0 36,538 74 2000 11,871 446 26,782 60.0 36,538 74 2001 13,847 446 26,782 60.0 36,538 76 2001 13,847 446 26,732 60.0 36,538 76 2002 15,033 516 28,432 60.7 36,068 77 2003 16,218 970 28,795 58.3 74 53,390 79 2004 20,168 28,247 30,04 33,373 33,776 88 76 2005 21,068			Residential	Services	Residential	Unit	Residential	Residential	Housing Unit	District	
1995 8,784 458 27,457 60.0 37,720 73 1996 9,400 446 26,782 60.0 37,373 73 1997 10,288 11,011 446 26,902 60.0 36,927 74 1997 10,288 11,011 446 26,902 60.0 36,927 74 1999 11,011 446 26,902 60.0 36,188 76 2000 12,972 448 26,927 60.0 36,188 76 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.0 35,705 73 2002 16,218 516 28,747 34,7 36,068 77 2003 16,218 516 28,477 34,7 35,705 78 2004 18,777 96,053 31,41 33,376 34,391 81 2005 24,49 936 29,633 31,41 32,705 88 87 2006		Year	Services (DU)		Units (DU)	Density	Services (DU)	Dwelling Units		Population	
No 1996 9,400 446 26,782 60.0 37,373 73 1997 10,288 448 26,907 60.0 36,927 74 1998 11,011 448 26,802 60.0 36,328 74 2000 13,847 450 27,324 60.0 36,328 74 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.0 36,328 76 2001 13,847 450 27,334 60.7 36,068 77 2002 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,705 78 2003 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,306 79 2004 18,777 970 28,797 28,997 78 78 2005 24,444 956 28,247 54.7 35,306 87 2006 25,154 996 29,171 30,4 37,76 88 2007 24,444 938 30,0		1995	8,784	458	27,457	60.0	37,720	73,961	2.905	214,863	
1997 10,288 448 26,902 60.0 36,927 74 1998 11,011 448 26,907 60.0 36,358 74 2000 11,011 448 26,907 60.0 36,358 74 2001 11,871 446 26,782 60.0 36,358 74 2001 13,847 450 27,786 61.9 36,188 76 2002 15,033 16,218 916 27,734 60.7 36,068 77 2003 16,218 516 28,709 29,47 34,391 81 2004 18,737 970 29,47 34,391 81 87 2005 23,069 936 29,47 30,4 33,395 88 76 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32,316 88 76 2008 25,154 933 30,04 33,395 88 76 2007 24,491 1,035		1996	9,400	446	26,782	60.0	37,373	73,555	2.905	213,683	
1998 11,011 448 26,907 60.0 36,538 74 2000 11,871 446 26,782 60.0 36,538 76 2001 13,847 446 26,782 60.0 36,538 76 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2002 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2003 16,218 516 28,709 29,67 34,391 81 2004 18,737 970 28,709 29,63 31,17 35,705 78 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30,4 33,307 79 2006 25,154 931 30,094 32,3 31,47 32,376 88 2007 25,154 936 29,663 31,418 87 32,716 88 2008 25,154 936 26,433 31,7 32,376 88 37,65 86 <		1997	10,288	448	26,902	60.0	36,927	74,117	2.905	215,318	
1999 11,871 446 26,782 60.0 36,226 74 2000 12,972 448 26,862 60.0 36,188 76 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2002 15,033 149 27,786 61.9 35,705 78 2003 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,330 79 2004 21,683 960 29,171 30,4 33,395 83 2007 24,444 931 30,04 32,3 31,7 32,395 88 2006 26,478 1,035 31,094 32,3 31,7 32,776 88 2007 24,444 931 30,04 32,3 31,418 87 2008 25,154 998 30,656 30,66 34,301 81 2009 25,154 931 30,64 32,776 88 86 2010 28,480 <td< td=""><td></td><td>1998</td><td>11,011</td><td>448</td><td>26,907</td><td>60.0</td><td>36,538</td><td>74,456</td><td>2.905</td><td>216,301</td><td></td></td<>		1998	11,011	448	26,907	60.0	36,538	74,456	2.905	216,301	
2000 12.972 448 26,862 60.0 36,188 76 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2002 15,033 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,705 78 2003 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,300 79 2004 18,737 970 28,709 29,633 31.7 35,305 88 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30.4 33,395 88 2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 32,716 86 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 31,418 87 2007 28,490 1,035 31,018 30.6 31,418 87 2008 23,4301 1,035 31,420 28,9 29,877 89 2010 23,430		1999	11,871	446	26,782	60.0	36,226	74,879	2.905	217,530	
2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2002 15,033 449 27,786 61.9 35,705 78 2003 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,380 79 2004 18,737 970 28,247 54.7 35,380 79 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30.4 33,395 88 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30.4 33,395 88 2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 32,776 86 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 32,776 88 2008 25,154 998 30,556 31,418 87 32,776 88 2009 25,647 931 30,64 32,314 87,0 32,776 89 2010 28,480 1,035 31,440 28,49 30,64 32,418 87 2011		2000	12,972	448	26,862	60.0	36,188	76,022	2.905	220,851	
2002 15,033 449 27,786 61.9 35,705 78 2003 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,380 79 2004 18,737 970 28,709 29.6 34,391 81 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30,4 33,395 83 2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 32,395 83 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 31.7 32,776 85 2008 25,154 998 30,094 32.3 31.76 85 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.05 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,048 30.720 88 70 2010 28,480 1,035 31,048 30.05 29,477 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 270 28,422 91 2011 2013 32,344		2001	13,847	450	27,324	60.7	36,068	77,239	2.912	224,924	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2002	15,033	449	27,786	61.9	35,705	78,523	2.919	229,212	
2004 18,737 970 28,709 29.6 34,391 81 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30.4 33,395 83 2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 33,395 83 2007 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 33,395 85 2008 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 33,395 85 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 31,418 87 2008 25,5154 998 30,556 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30,720 88 70 2010 28,480 1,035 31,018 30,06 29,472 99 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 29,47 99 2011 30,848 1,185 32,184 27,0 28,422 91 2014 2013 33,434 1,194 32,198		2003	16,218	516	28,247	54.7	35,380	79,845	2.926	233,630	
2005 21,168 960 29,171 30.4 33,395 83 2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 32,776 85 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 31.7 32,776 85 2008 25,154 931 30,094 32.3 31,418 86 2008 25,154 998 30,556 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.6 31,418 87 2010 28,480 1,035 31,480 28.9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 27.0 28,422 91 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 27.0 28,422 91 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 27.0 28,422 91 2012 33,434 1,194 32,194 27.0 28,422 91 2013 35,947 1,194 </td <td></td> <td>2004</td> <td>18,737</td> <td>970</td> <td>28,709</td> <td>29.6</td> <td>34,391</td> <td>81,837</td> <td>2.933</td> <td>240,029</td> <td></td>		2004	18,737	970	28,709	29.6	34,391	81,837	2.933	240,029	
2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 32,776 85 2007 24,444 931 30,944 32.3 32,168 86 2008 25,154 998 30,556 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.556 30,6 31,418 87 2010 28,480 1,035 31,018 30,720 88 87 2011 30,848 1,035 31,042 28,9 20,70 28,422 91 2011 30,848 1,194 32,184 27.0 28,422 91 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 28,422 91 1 2013 35,947 1,194 32,194 27.0 24,499 92 1 2013 35,947 1,194 32,194 27.0 24,391 92 1 2014 2014 210 22,635 92 93 93		2005	21,168	960	29,171	30.4	33,395	83,734	2.940	246,177	
2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 32,168 86 2008 25,154 998 30,556 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.6 31,418 87 2010 28,480 1,035 31,018 30.720 88 2011 30,848 1,185 31,440 28.9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 28.9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 27.0 28,422 91 2012 33,434 1,194 32,184 27.0 28,422 92 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 1 2014 2014 27.0 24,391 92 92 21UAL 2014 21,98 32,145 27.0		2006	23,069	936	29,633	31.7	32,776	85,477	2.947	251,898	
2008 25,154 998 30,556 30,6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.0 30,720 88 2010 28,480 1,089 31,480 28,9 20,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 28,9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 28,9 26,419 92 2012 33,434 1,194 32,184 27.0 28,422 91 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 1 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 2TUAL 2014 31,90 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 JECTED 2020 64,527 1,297 34,145 27.0 0 93 V		2007	24,444	931	30,094	32.3	32,168	86,707	2.954	256,127	
2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.0 30,720 88 2010 28,480 1,089 31,480 28,9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,089 31,480 28,9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 28,9 29,877 89 2012 33,434 1,194 32,184 27.0 28,422 91 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 1 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 1 2014 38,190 1,190 32,074 27.0 24,391 92 JECTED 2015 41,536 1,190 32,074 27.0 24,391 92 JECTED 2015 41,536 1,190 32,074 27.0 0 93 JECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 0 93 </td <td></td> <td>2008</td> <td>25,154</td> <td>998</td> <td>30,556</td> <td>30.6</td> <td>31,418</td> <td>87,129</td> <td>2.961</td> <td>257,982</td> <td></td>		2008	25,154	998	30,556	30.6	31,418	87,129	2.961	257,982	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2009	26,478	1,035	31,018	30.0	30,720	88,216	2.968	261,817	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2010	28,480	1,089	31,480	28.9	29,877	89,837	2.975	267,255	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2011	30,848	1,185	31,942	27.0	28,422	91,212	2.975	271,344	
Λ 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 1 2014 38,190 1,190 32,074 27.0 24,391 92 CTUAL 2015 41,536 1,190 32,074 27.0 22,635 92 DIECTED 2015 41,536 1,198 32,303 27.0 19,828 93 DIECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 0 98 1 2025 67,852 1,339 36,091 27.0 0 0 10 V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 0 10 V 2035 75.05 1,405 36,091 27.0 0 0 10		2012	33,434	1,194	32,184	27.0	26,419	92,036	2.975	273,798	
2014 38,190 1,190 32,074 27.0 22,635 92 CTUAL 2015 41,536 1,198 32,303 27.0 22,635 93 DECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 19,828 93 DECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 0 98 V 2025 67,852 1,339 36,091 27.0 0 10 V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 10 V 2036 71,348 1,405 36,091 27.0 0 10	<	2013	35,947	1,194	32,198	27.0	24,391	92,535	2.975	275,282	<
CTUAL 2015 41,536 1,198 32,303 27.0 19,828 93 JECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 0 98 I 2025 67,852 1,339 36,091 27.0 0 98 V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 10' V 2036 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 10'		2014	38,190	1,190	32,074	27.0	22,635	92,899	2.975	276,364	_
DIECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 0 98 2025 67,852 1,339 36,091 27.0 0 10' V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 10' V 2035 71,348 1,405 38,149 27.0 0 10'	CTUAL	2015	41,536	1,198	32,303	27.0	19,828	93,668	2.975	278,651	ACTUAL
I 2025 67,852 1,339 36,091 27.0 0 100 V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 100 2035 75,055 1,405 40.233 27.0 0 100	DIECTED	2020	64,527	1,267	34,145	27.0	0	98,671	2.975	293,536	PROJECTED
V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 100 2035 75.05 1.405 40.323 27.0 0 110		2025	67,852	1,339	36,091	27.0	0	103,943	2.975	309,218	_
21 20 25 25 27 27 0 11	>	2030	71,348	1,415	38,149	27.0	0	109,497	2.975	325,741	>
11 0 0.12 C2C,0+ 0.441 C20,C1 C20,C1 C20,C1		2035	75,025	1,496	40,323	27.0	0	115,348	2.975	343,148	
2040 78,891 1,581 42,622 27.0 0 12.		2040	78,891	1,581	42,622	27.0	0	121,513	2.975	361,487	

Population

Blanusa, Danilo

From: Sent:	Blanusa, Danilo Thursday, August 20, 2015 3:57 PM	1
То:	Lorelei Oviatt (LoreleiO@co.kern.c	a.us)'
Cc:	Salzano, Tom; Bolzowski, Michael I	R.; Keck, Jonathan; Valles, Rudy; Kelly, Tamara
Subject:	Cal Water Urban Water Manageme Bakersfield District	ent Plan (UWMP) growth forecast for your review -
Attachments:	Letter to City Planning Officials - Att	tachmet - BK.pdf
Tracking:	Recipient	Delivery
	'Lorelei Oviatt (LoreleiO@co.kern.ca.us)'	
	Salzano, Tom	Delivered: 8/20/2015 3:57 PM
	Bolzowski, Michael R.	Delivered: 8/20/2015 3:57 PM
	Keck, Jonathan	Delivered: 8/20/2015 3:57 PM
	Valles, Rudy	Delivered: 8/20/2015 3:57 PM
	Kelly, Tamara	Delivered: 8/20/2015 3:57 PM

Dear Ms. Oviatt,

Pursuant to California Water Code, Division 6, Part 2.6, Sections 10610 through 10656, California Water Service is in the process of preparing the required 2015 update of our Urban Water Management Plans. These plans are required to be updated every five (5) years for each of our services areas (Districts). As you know our Bakersfield District provides water service to Kern County.

The purpose of this communication is to solicit your assistance in reviewing and advising us with respect to one of the key elements of the plan, which is the development of a growth forecast for our district. This growth forecast is conducted based on growth in each customer service classification applicable to a particular district, which typically include:

- Single family residential
- Multi-family residential
- Commercial
- Industrial
- Government (City or County parks, median strips, landscaping and schools)
- Dedicated Irrigation (rare)
- Other (temporary construction meters)

The forecasted growth rates are combined with a demand per service factor applicable to each customer class to determine the future water demands for the district. These growth factors are adjustable and we want to review them with you so that we are consistent with anticipated growth that your planning efforts forecast. If adjustments are necessary we can do them now and avoid conflicts and confusion later in this process.

Some specific information regarding our approach to forecasting customer service growth is detailed as follows:

• **Residential** – Typically two residential customer service categories represent the vast majority of the service counts as well as subsequent water sales or demand in our districts. Cal Water considers both single family and multi-family residential services independently as individual classes, but combines them together in order to assess population growth and housing unit growth. While we use historical trends in the establishment for the growth rates for these two customer classes, we also analyze census data for population and housing factors and compare our forecast results for these two parameters with

available data from City General Plans, as well as County Economic Forecast data and Regional government association forecasts as a reality or appropriateness check of our results.

- **Commercial & Industrial** Historical trend is a key influence in this customer class, however where we have seen negative trends in recent years for these categories due to the economic downturn, we typically employ either a zero rate of growth or a small, reasonable positive rate of growth. We have also undertaken during the last ten years some reassessment of customer service classifications that has resulted in reallocation of some customer service accounts between various classes. This reallocation, which included commercial, industrial, multi-family residential and in some cases government services, has made the analysis of growth a bit more difficult.
- **Government** Growth trends are generally parallel to that of the residential sector, so we verify that our rate of grow is not dramatically out-of-sequence with the overall community.
- **Other** The use of temporary-assigned construction meters varies considerably from year to year, and can represent considerable water demand. In this case, we select a growth rate that is stable, yet reflects the overall growth of the community.

We have included with this communication a set of tables and graphs (see attachment) that illustrate the parameters that influence the growth forecast as currently set up for this district. These include:

- A. The historical and projected service data in both graph and table form
- B. The 2000 and 2010 Census data for the districts service area
- C. Housing projection chart comparing Cal Water's forecast (always in red) with those from other organizations
- D. Population projection chart comparing Cal Water's forecast (always in red) with those from other organizations
- E. Table of population and housing values along with multi-family residential unit density and persons per housing unit density that are employed in this forecast effort.

Please note that the 2015 data, which we need to include in our finished forecast, is not yet final, and some minor fluctuation of these values is possible.

Please examine these documents to determine if you concur with our forecasted housing and population numbers. It would be greatly appreciated if you could, by **September 11, 2015**, provide us with an indication of your support or in the case you do not agree with our forecast a reason why and the appropriate rate or growth pattern that we should employ. If I do not hear back from you by the end of business (EOB) on the above date I will assume that you concur with our forecast.

If you need a more detailed explanation of these numbers or want to review them with us please feel free to contact me at (408) 367-8340 or by email at <u>tsalzano@calwater.com</u>.

Thank you for your assistance in this effort.

Respectfully,

Thomas a. Salzano

Thomas A. Salzano Water Resource Planning Supervisor

Danilo Blanusa, P.E. **Senior Engineer CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE**

408-367-8387





BK PAWS 2014

TOT Cht

Attachment A (Sheet 2 of 2)

Serv Proj

Worksheet 8

e Company - Bakersfield District	Analysis and Projections
California Water Service	Water Supply and Demand A

		-1					2	
	204(78,89	1,58	7,06(3(913	70	88,55
	2035	75,025	1,496	6,905	30	865	76	84,397
ervices	2030	71,348	1,415	6,754	30	820	76	80,443
Projected S	2025	67,852	1,339	6,606	30	LTT LTT	76	76,679
	2020	64,527	1,267	6,461	30	736	76	73,097
	2015	61,364	1,198	6,320	30	869	76	69,686
000 V00	5ase rear 2015	61,364	1,198	6,320	30	698	76	69,686
ervices	2010	58,357	1,089	6,229	32	661	56	66,424
Actual S	2005	54,563	096	6,047	36	573	93	62,271
	2000	49,160	448	6,443	40	378	56	56,525
Growth	Rate	1.01%	1.12%	0.44%	0.00%	1.08%	0.00%	0.96%
Colortod Twond	nual i rend	5 Yr. Avg.	20 Yr. Avg.	10 Yr. Avg.	Zero Growth Rate	5 Yr. Avg.	Zero Growth Rate	rowth rate 2012-2040
		SFR_B	MFR_E	COM_C	IND_A	GOV_B	A_HTO	Average g
Customer	Lategory	SFR	MFR	COM	IND	GOV	OTH	TOTAL

Serv Proj

California Water Service Company - Bakersfield District Water Supply and Demand Analysis and Projections **MarPlot Summary**



oystem	Blocks	ropuation		Densuy	Blocks	ropmanon		Density	Population Change	Change	Change
									Cliange	CHAILGE	
Bakersfield					2,651	243,138	80,892	3.01			
North Garden					250	24,117	8,945	2.70			
District	2,699	220,851	76,022	2.91	2,901	267,255	89,837	2.97	121.0%	118.2%	102.4%
City of Bakersfie	ple				3,318	330,483	115,715	2.86			
Percent of City se	ervice by Ca	I Water			87.4%	80.9%	77.6%				

Π

Population

Density

Units

Population

Density

Population Units

System

MARPLOT disclaimer: The population and housing number given above are only rough estimates. They are based on the US Census Blocks. Although Census Blocks are polygons, MARPLOT uses the centroid, or center point, rather than the entire polygon. If a Census Block centroid is within any of the MARPLOT selected objects, the population and housing numbers for that block are tallied, even if only part of the block is within the selected object. It is possible for a block not be counted if its centroid is not within selected objects, even thought part of the block is within the selected object. It is possible for a block not be counted if its centroid is not within selected objects, even thought part of the block is within the selected object.





tauoD soivyskiete Count

HOU



Population Projections

POP

POP

California Water Service Company - Bakersfield District Water Supply and Demand Analysis and Projections **Population Estimate**

US Census

Year Population Housing Units Lustrices Residential Residential 2000 20.0851 76.022 2.905 2.4% 11.9,6% 1.019 21.019 31.3 2010 267.255 89,837 2.975 2.8,480 1.089 31. 2010 267.255 89,837 2.975 2.8,480 1.089 31. 2010 267.255 89,837 2.975 2.8,480 1.089 31. 2010 267.255 89,837 2.975 2.8,480 1.039 31. Residential Services<(DU) Services<(DU) Density Services (DU) Density Services<(DU) Density Services<(DU) Density Services 73 73 1995 9.400 446 26.782 60.0 37.373 73 73 1997 10.11 847 448 26.907 60.0 37.373 73 2001 13.847 448 26.907 60.0 36.258 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>US C</th> <th>ensus</th> <th>Darcone nar</th> <th>Single Family</th> <th>Mu</th> <th>ılti Family Reside</th> <th>ntial</th> <th>Flat Rate</th> <th></th>			US C	ensus	Darcone nar	Single Family	Mu	ılti Family Reside	ntial	Flat Rate	
Year Population Housing Units Number of the services Unit Residential T T Single Family Services Residential Services Nulti Family Residential T					United a United The United	Residential		Residential	Unit	Residential	
2000 220,851 76,022 2.905 12.972 448 2.97 2.438 2.97 2.975 2.448 2.96 2.10% 2.13% 2		Year	Population	Housing Units	HOUSING UNIT	Services (DU)	Services	Units (DU)	Density	Services (DU)	
2010 267.255 89,837 2.975 28,480 1.089 31 Zil0% 18,2% 2.4% 119,6% 143,1% 17 Xear Single Family Multi Family Residential Flat Rate T Year Services 0.01 Davity Residential R		2000	220,851	76,022	2.905	12,972	448	26,862	60.0	36,188	
Andia Link 119,6% 13,1% 17 21.0% 18,2% 2,4% 119,6% 143,1% 17 Residential Services Multi Family Residential Units (DU) Density Residential Residenti		2010	267,255	89,837	2.975	28,480	1,089	31,480	28.9	29,877	
Andress Multi Family Residential Flat Rate T Year Single Family Multi Family Residential Units (DU) Density Services (DU) Dwelli 1995 8.784 458 27,457 60.0 37,373 73 1997 1001 Services (DU) Density Services (DU) Dwelli 1997 11,011 A46 26,922 60.0 37,373 73 1997 11,011 448 26,907 60.0 36,538 76 2000 12,972 446 26,782 60.0 36,538 76 2001 13,847 446 26,972 60.0 36,538 76 2002 15,033 448 26,977 60.0 36,538 76 2003 15,347 446 26,732 60.0 36,538 76 2004 18,737 970 28,430 18,13 36,188 76 2003 20,144 931 30,053			21.0%	18.2%	2.4%	119.6%	143.1%	17.2%	-51.8%	-17.4%	
Angle Family Kesidential Multi Family Kesidential Residential Multi Family Kesidential Units (0.0) Fait Kate 37,373 Fait Kate 87,457 Fait Kate 60.0 Tait Kate 37,373 Tait Kate 73,7720 Tait Kate 73,7720 Tait Kate 73,7720 Tait Kate 73,7720 Tait Kate 73,773 Tait Kate 74,44	-		: ;	,	:		ţ	ł		, ,	
American Year Residential Services (DU) Services Density Residential Services (DU) Residential Density Residential Services (DU) Residential Services (Services (DU) Residentices (DU)			Single Family	Mult	i Family Reside	ntial	Flat Rate	Total	Persons ner	Estimated	
Year Services (DU) Units (DU) Density Services (DU) Dwelli 1995 8.784 458 27,457 60.0 37,720 73 1996 9,400 446 25,782 60.0 36,937 74 1997 10,288 446 26,907 60.0 36,538 74 1997 11,011 448 26,907 60.0 36,538 74 2000 11,871 446 26,782 60.0 36,538 74 2001 13,847 446 26,782 60.0 36,538 76 2001 13,847 446 26,732 60.0 36,538 76 2002 15,033 516 28,432 60.7 36,068 77 2003 16,218 970 28,795 58.3 74 53,390 79 2004 20,168 28,247 30,04 33,373 33,776 88 76 2005 21,068			Residential	Services	Residential	Unit	Residential	Residential	Housing Unit	District	
1995 8,784 458 27,457 60.0 37,720 73 1996 9,400 446 26,782 60.0 37,373 73 1997 10,288 11,011 446 26,902 60.0 36,927 74 1997 10,288 11,011 446 26,902 60.0 36,927 74 1999 11,011 446 26,902 60.0 36,188 76 2000 12,972 448 26,927 60.0 36,188 76 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.0 35,705 73 2002 16,218 516 28,747 34,7 36,068 77 2003 16,218 516 28,477 34,7 35,705 78 2004 18,777 96,053 31,41 33,376 34,391 81 2005 24,49 936 29,633 31,41 32,705 88 87 2006		Year	Services (DU)		Units (DU)	Density	Services (DU)	Dwelling Units		Population	
No 1996 9,400 446 26,782 60.0 37,373 73 1997 10,288 448 26,907 60.0 36,927 74 1998 11,011 448 26,802 60.0 36,328 74 2000 13,847 450 27,324 60.0 36,328 74 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.0 36,328 76 2001 13,847 450 27,334 60.7 36,068 77 2002 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,705 78 2003 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,306 79 2004 18,777 970 28,797 28,997 78 78 2005 24,444 956 28,247 54.7 35,306 87 2006 25,154 996 29,171 30,4 37,76 88 2007 24,444 938 30,0		1995	8,784	458	27,457	60.0	37,720	73,961	2.905	214,863	
1997 10,288 448 26,902 60.0 36,927 74 1998 11,011 448 26,907 60.0 36,358 74 2000 11,011 448 26,907 60.0 36,358 74 2001 11,871 446 26,782 60.0 36,358 74 2001 13,847 450 27,786 61.9 36,188 76 2002 15,033 16,218 916 27,734 60.7 36,068 77 2003 16,218 516 28,709 29,47 34,391 81 2004 18,737 970 29,47 34,391 81 87 2005 23,069 936 29,47 30,4 33,395 88 76 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32,316 88 76 2008 25,154 933 30,04 33,395 88 76 2007 24,491 1,035		1996	9,400	446	26,782	60.0	37,373	73,555	2.905	213,683	
1998 11,011 448 26,907 60.0 36,538 74 2000 11,871 446 26,782 60.0 36,538 76 2001 13,847 446 26,782 60.0 36,538 76 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2002 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2003 16,218 516 28,709 29,67 34,391 81 2004 18,737 970 28,709 29,63 31,17 35,705 78 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30,4 33,307 79 2006 25,154 931 30,094 32,3 31,47 32,376 88 2007 25,154 936 29,663 31,418 87 32,716 88 2008 25,154 936 26,433 31,7 32,376 88 37,65 86 <		1997	10,288	448	26,902	60.0	36,927	74,117	2.905	215,318	
1999 11,871 446 26,782 60.0 36,226 74 2000 12,972 448 26,862 60.0 36,188 76 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2002 15,033 149 27,786 61.9 35,705 78 2003 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,330 79 2004 21,5,033 16,218 516 28,747 54.7 35,705 78 2005 21,680 960 29,171 30,4 33,395 88 2006 25,154 931 30,04 32,33 31,7 32,776 88 2007 24,444 931 30,04 32,33 31,418 88 2008 25,154 998 30,656 30,66 36,720 89 2009 25,154 998 30,64 32,776 89 27,76 82 2010		1998	11,011	448	26,907	60.0	36,538	74,456	2.905	216,301	
2000 12.972 448 26,862 60.0 36,188 76 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2002 15,033 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,705 78 2003 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,300 79 2004 18,737 970 28,709 29,633 31.7 35,305 88 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30.4 33,395 88 2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 32,716 86 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 31,418 87 2007 28,490 1,035 31,018 30.6 31,418 87 2008 23,4301 1,035 31,420 28,9 29,877 89 2010 23,430		1999	11,871	446	26,782	60.0	36,226	74,879	2.905	217,530	
2001 13,847 450 27,324 60.7 36,068 77 2002 15,033 449 27,786 61.9 35,705 78 2003 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,380 79 2004 18,737 970 28,247 54.7 35,380 79 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30.4 33,395 88 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30.4 33,395 88 2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 32,776 86 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 32,776 88 2008 25,154 998 30,556 31,418 87 32,776 88 2009 25,647 931 30,64 32,314 87,0 32,776 89 2010 28,480 1,035 31,440 28,49 30,64 32,418 87 2011		2000	12,972	448	26,862	60.0	36,188	76,022	2.905	220,851	
2002 15,033 449 27,786 61.9 35,705 78 2003 16,218 516 28,247 54.7 35,380 79 2004 18,737 970 28,709 29.6 34,391 81 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30,4 33,395 83 2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 32,395 83 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 31.7 32,776 85 2008 25,154 998 30,094 32.3 31.76 85 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.05 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,048 30.720 88 70 2010 28,480 1,035 31,048 30.05 29,477 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 270 28,422 91 2011 2013 32,344		2001	13,847	450	27,324	60.7	36,068	77,239	2.912	224,924	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2002	15,033	449	27,786	61.9	35,705	78,523	2.919	229,212	
2004 18,737 970 28,709 29.6 34,391 81 2005 21,168 960 29,171 30.4 33,395 83 2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 33,395 83 2007 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 33,395 85 2008 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 33,395 85 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 31,418 87 2008 25,5154 998 30,556 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30,720 88 70 2010 28,480 1,035 31,018 30,06 29,472 99 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 29,47 99 2011 30,848 1,185 32,184 27,0 28,422 91 2014 2013 33,434 1,194 32,198		2003	16,218	516	28,247	54.7	35,380	79,845	2.926	233,630	
2005 21,168 960 29,171 30.4 33,395 83 2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 32,776 85 2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 31.7 32,776 85 2008 25,154 931 30,094 32.3 31,418 86 2008 25,154 998 30,556 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.6 31,418 87 2010 28,480 1,035 31,480 28.9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 27.0 28,422 91 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 27.0 28,422 91 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 27.0 28,422 91 2012 33,434 1,194 32,194 27.0 28,422 91 2013 35,947 1,194 </td <td></td> <td>2004</td> <td>18,737</td> <td>970</td> <td>28,709</td> <td>29.6</td> <td>34,391</td> <td>81,837</td> <td>2.933</td> <td>240,029</td> <td></td>		2004	18,737	970	28,709	29.6	34,391	81,837	2.933	240,029	
2006 23,069 936 29,633 31.7 32,776 85 2007 24,444 931 30,944 32.3 32,168 86 2008 25,154 998 30,556 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.556 30,6 31,418 87 2010 28,480 1,035 31,018 30,720 88 87 2011 30,848 1,035 31,042 28,9 20,70 28,422 91 2011 30,848 1,194 32,184 27.0 28,422 91 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 28,422 91 1 2013 35,947 1,194 32,194 27.0 24,499 92 1 2013 35,947 1,194 32,194 27.0 24,391 92 1 2014 2014 210 22,635 92 93 93		2005	21,168	960	29,171	30.4	33,395	83,734	2.940	246,177	
2007 24,444 931 30,094 32.3 32,168 86 2008 25,154 998 30,556 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.6 31,418 87 2010 28,480 1,035 31,018 30.720 88 2011 30,848 1,185 31,440 28.9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 28.9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 27.0 28,422 91 2012 33,434 1,194 32,184 27.0 28,422 92 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 1 2014 2014 27.0 24,391 92 92 21UAL 2014 21,98 32,145 27.0		2006	23,069	936	29,633	31.7	32,776	85,477	2.947	251,898	
2008 25,154 998 30,556 30,6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.6 31,418 87 2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.0 30,720 88 2010 28,480 1,089 31,480 28,9 20,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 28,9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 28,9 26,419 92 2012 33,434 1,194 32,184 27.0 28,422 91 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 1 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 2TUAL 2014 31,90 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 JECTED 2020 64,527 1,297 34,145 27.0 0 93 V		2007	24,444	931	30,094	32.3	32,168	86,707	2.954	256,127	
2009 26,478 1,035 31,018 30.0 30,720 88 2010 28,480 1,089 31,480 28,9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,089 31,480 28,9 29,877 89 2011 30,848 1,185 31,942 28,9 29,877 89 2012 33,434 1,194 32,184 27.0 28,422 91 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 1 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 1 2014 38,190 1,190 32,074 27.0 24,391 92 JECTED 2015 41,536 1,190 32,074 27.0 24,391 92 JECTED 2015 41,536 1,190 32,074 27.0 0 93 JECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 0 93 </td <td></td> <td>2008</td> <td>25,154</td> <td>998</td> <td>30,556</td> <td>30.6</td> <td>31,418</td> <td>87,129</td> <td>2.961</td> <td>257,982</td> <td></td>		2008	25,154	998	30,556	30.6	31,418	87,129	2.961	257,982	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2009	26,478	1,035	31,018	30.0	30,720	88,216	2.968	261,817	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2010	28,480	1,089	31,480	28.9	29,877	89,837	2.975	267,255	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2011	30,848	1,185	31,942	27.0	28,422	91,212	2.975	271,344	
Λ 2013 35,947 1,194 32,198 27.0 24,391 92 1 2014 38,190 1,190 32,074 27.0 24,391 92 CTUAL 2015 41,536 1,190 32,074 27.0 22,635 92 DIECTED 2015 41,536 1,198 32,303 27.0 19,828 93 DIECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 0 98 1 2025 67,852 1,339 36,091 27.0 0 0 10 V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 0 10 V 2035 75.05 1,405 36,091 27.0 0 0 10		2012	33,434	1,194	32,184	27.0	26,419	92,036	2.975	273,798	
2014 38,190 1,190 32,074 27.0 22,635 92 CTUAL 2015 41,536 1,198 32,303 27.0 22,635 93 DECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 19,828 93 DECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 0 98 V 2025 67,852 1,339 36,091 27.0 0 10 V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 10 V 2036 71,348 1,405 36,091 27.0 0 10	<	2013	35,947	1,194	32,198	27.0	24,391	92,535	2.975	275,282	<
CTUAL 2015 41,536 1,198 32,303 27.0 19,828 93 JECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 0 98 I 2025 67,852 1,339 36,091 27.0 0 98 V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 10' V 2036 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 10'		2014	38,190	1,190	32,074	27.0	22,635	92,899	2.975	276,364	_
DIECTED 2020 64,527 1,267 34,145 27.0 0 98 2025 67,852 1,339 36,091 27.0 0 10' V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 10' V 2035 71,348 1,405 38,149 27.0 0 10'	CTUAL	2015	41,536	1,198	32,303	27.0	19,828	93,668	2.975	278,651	ACTUAL
I 2025 67,852 1,339 36,091 27.0 0 100 V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 100 2035 75,055 1,405 40.233 27.0 0 100	DIECTED	2020	64,527	1,267	34,145	27.0	0	98,671	2.975	293,536	PROJECTED
V 2030 71,348 1,415 38,149 27.0 0 100 2035 75.05 1.405 40.323 27.0 0 110		2025	67,852	1,339	36,091	27.0	0	103,943	2.975	309,218	_
21 20 25 25 27 27 0 11	>	2030	71,348	1,415	38,149	27.0	0	109,497	2.975	325,741	>
11 0 0.12 C2C,0+ 0.441 C20,C1 C20,C1 C20,C1		2035	75,025	1,496	40,323	27.0	0	115,348	2.975	343,148	
2040 78,891 1,581 42,622 27.0 0 12.		2040	78,891	1,581	42,622	27.0	0	121,513	2.975	361,487	

Population

Blanusa, Danilo

From: Sent:	Martin Ortiz <mortiz@bakersfieldcity.us> Tuesday, September 01, 2015 3:04 PM</mortiz@bakersfieldcity.us>
To:	Blanusa, Danilo; Jason Meadors
Cc:	Salzano, Tom; Bolzowski, Michael R.; Keck, Jonathan; Valles, Rudy; Kelly, Tamara
Subject:	RE: Cal Water Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) growth forecast for your review - Bakersfield District
Attachments:	Growth Information.docx
Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:	Follow up Flagged

Hi Danilo,

Because the City is mostly interested in the overall growth and economic status of the entire city, it is difficult to remove and examine the California Water Service Company service area. However, based on your information you sent us for review we provide you with the attached information from the City's Housing Element that we are working on currently. The Draft Housing Element can be found on the City's website and the link is available as follows - <u>http://www.bakersfieldcity.us/pdfs/2015_8_21_Bakersfield%20Draft%20HE%20-%20Public%20Review%20Web.pdf</u>.

Please take a look at the projected Persons per Household, which is different than what you provide. Remember this projection is for the entire city and may not reflect what you experience in your service area. We concur with the forecasted housing/population and commercial/industrial numbers you have provided. If you need anything else, please do not hesitate to contact me at this office by phone or email. Thanks.

Martin.

Martin Ortiz, Principal Planner

City of Bakersfield Community Development Department 1715 Chester Avenue | Bakersfield, CA 93301

- **2**: (661) 326-3786 | Fax: (661) 326-2136
- : <u>mortiz@bakersfieldcity.us</u> <u>www.bakersfieldcity.us</u>



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail/transmission is intended to be sent only to the recipient stated herein. This e-mail/transmission is confidential and also may be legally privileged or protected by the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine, and also may be restricted from disclosure by applicable state and federal law. Any copying, disclosure, distribution, review or use of this e-mail/transmission by other than the intended recipient or that person's agent is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail/transmission in error, please notify the sender, and immediately permanently delete or destroy this e-mail/transmission, and all copies thereof from any drives or storage media, and destroy any printouts of the e-mail/transmission.

From: Blanusa, Danilo [mailto:dblanusa@calwater.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2015 3:53 PM
To: Jason Meadors; Martin Ortiz
Cc: Salzano, Tom; Bolzowski, Michael R.; Keck, Jonathan; Valles, Rudy; Kelly, Tamara
Subject: Cal Water Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) growth forecast for your review - Bakersfield District

Dear Mr. Meadors and Mr. Ortiz,

Pursuant to California Water Code, Division 6, Part 2.6, Sections 10610 through 10656, California Water Service is in the process of preparing the required 2015 update of our Urban Water Management Plans. These plans are required to be

updated every five (5) years for each of our services areas (Districts). As you know our Bakersfield District provides water service to the City of Bakersfield.

The purpose of this communication is to solicit your assistance in reviewing and advising us with respect to one of the key elements of the plan, which is the development of a growth forecast for our district. This growth forecast is conducted based on growth in each customer service classification applicable to a particular district, which typically include:

- Single family residential
- Multi-family residential
- Commercial
- Industrial
- Government (City or County parks, median strips, landscaping and schools)
- Dedicated Irrigation (rare)
- Other (temporary construction meters)

The forecasted growth rates are combined with a demand per service factor applicable to each customer class to determine the future water demands for the district. These growth factors are adjustable and we want to review them with you so that we are consistent with anticipated growth that your planning efforts forecast. If adjustments are necessary we can do them now and avoid conflicts and confusion later in this process.

Some specific information regarding our approach to forecasting customer service growth is detailed as follows:

- **Residential** Typically two residential customer service categories represent the vast majority of the service counts as well as subsequent water sales or demand in our districts. Cal Water considers both single family and multi-family residential services independently as individual classes, but combines them together in order to assess population growth and housing unit growth. While we use historical trends in the establishment for the growth rates for these two customer classes, we also analyze census data for population and housing factors and compare our forecast results for these two parameters with available data from City General Plans, as well as County Economic Forecast data and Regional government association forecasts as a reality or appropriateness check of our results.
- **Commercial & Industrial** Historical trend is a key influence in this customer class, however where we have seen negative trends in recent years for these categories due to the economic downturn, we typically employ either a zero rate of growth or a small, reasonable positive rate of growth. We have also undertaken during the last ten years some reassessment of customer service classifications that has resulted in reallocation of some customer service accounts between various classes. This reallocation, which included commercial, industrial, multi-family residential and in some cases government services, has made the analysis of growth a bit more difficult.
- **Government** Growth trends are generally parallel to that of the residential sector, so we verify that our rate of grow is not dramatically out-of-sequence with the overall community.
- **Other** The use of temporary-assigned construction meters varies considerably from year to year, and can represent considerable water demand. In this case, we select a growth rate that is stable, yet reflects the overall growth of the community.

We have included with this communication a set of tables and graphs (see attachment) that illustrate the parameters that influence the growth forecast as currently set up for this district. These include:

- A. The historical and projected service data in both graph and table form
- B. The 2000 and 2010 Census data for the districts service area
- C. Housing projection chart comparing Cal Water's forecast (always in red) with those from other organizations

- D. Population projection chart comparing Cal Water's forecast (always in red) with those from other organizations
- E. Table of population and housing values along with multi-family residential unit density and persons per housing unit density that are employed in this forecast effort.

Please note that the 2015 data, which we need to include in our finished forecast, is not yet final, and some minor fluctuation of these values is possible.

Please examine these documents to determine if you concur with our forecasted housing and population numbers. It would be greatly appreciated if you could, by **September 11, 2015**, provide us with an indication of your support or in the case you do not agree with our forecast a reason why and the appropriate rate or growth pattern that we should employ. If I do not hear back from you by the end of business (EOB) on the above date I will assume that you concur with our forecast.

If you need a more detailed explanation of these numbers or want to review them with us please feel free to contact me at (408) 367-8340 or by email at <u>tsalzano@calwater.com</u>.

Thank you for your assistance in this effort.

Respectfully,

Thomas A. Salzano

Thomas A. Salzano Water Resource Planning Supervisor

Danilo Blanusa, P.E.

Senior Engineer CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE 408-367-8387



Quality. Service. Value. calwater.com

This e-mail and any of its attachments may contain California Water Service Group proprietary information and is confidential. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail and then deleting it from your system.

Population Trends

Bakersfield has had a steadily increasing population with average annual growth rate at about 3 percent. However, in recent years the rate of growth has decreased. In 2013, there were 359,221 persons estimated to reside in the City, according to CA Department of Finance. The current population represents a numeric increase of 112,164 persons since 2000 or 45 percent growth.

Year	Total Population	Numeric Change	% Growth	Avg. Annual Growth
1990	174,820	n/a	n/a	n/a
2000	247,057	72,237	41%	3.9%
2010	347,483	100,426	41%	3.9%
2013	359,221	11,738	3%	1.7%
Source: U.S	. Census, CA Depa	artment of Financ	e	

Table 1: City of Bakersfield Population Trends (1990 - 2013)

Employment by Industry

The largest employment group in Bakersfield is Educational, Health Care and Social Services (23 percent) followed by Retail, according to the U.S. Census. Farming only comprises 10 percent of the labor force in Bakersfield.

Table 2: Kern County Employment by Industry (2007 - 2013)

Industry	2007	%	2013	%
Total Farm	48,200	16%	55,900	18%
Mining, Oil & Gas Extraction, Well Drilling	10,000	3%	12,900	4%
Construction	17,400	6%	17,800	6%
Manufacturing Durable Goods	5,700	2%	5,400	2%
Manufacturing Nondurable Goods	7,800	3%	8,900	3%
Wholesale	8,000	3%	9,200	3%
Retail	30,000	10%	31,200	10%
Transportation, Warehousing & Utilities	9,700	3%	9,900	3%
Information	2,900	1%	2,500	1%
Financial, Insurance & Real Estate	8,900	3%	8,800	3%
Professional & Business Services	25,400	9%	26,800	9%
Educational & Health Services	27,800	10%	31,800	10%
Leisure & Hospitality	21,600	7%	23,000	7%
Other Services	7,000	2%	7,500	2%
Federal Government	9,600	3%	9,900	3%
State & Local Government	52,300	18%	49,800	16%
TOTAL	292,300	100%	311,300	100%
Source: California Employment Development Department				

Employment by Industry

According to the California EDD, there were 165,800 persons in the Bakersfield labor force in 2014, an increase of 18,300 persons from 2007. Generally, the unemployment rate has decreased since 2010 in the City and the County with the City unemployment rate consistently remaining lower than the Kern County rate. At the end of 2014, the City unemployment rate was 6.8 percent and the County rate was 9.9 percent. Bakersfield has many employment options that are dispersed at various locations throughout the City.

Industry	2006	Percent	2013	Percent
Agriculture, Fisheries, Oil and Gas	11,520	8%	14,929	10%
Construction	12,932	9%	8,381	6%
Manufacturing	5,725	4%	7,854	5%
Wholesale	5,522	4%	4,856	3%
Retail	15,798	11%	16,650	11%
Transportation, Warehousing and Utilities	8,804	6%	7,642	5%
Information	2,774	2%	2,104	1%
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	8,766	6%	7,859	5%
Professional and administrative services	11,079	8%	11,726	8%
Educational, Health Care and Social Services	31,396	23%	33,019	23%
Arts, Entertainment, Hotels and Food Services	8,414	6%	12,850	9%
Other Services, Except Public Administration	8,154	6%	7,172	5%
Public Administration	7,659	6%	9,859	7%
Total Working Population	138,543	100%	144,901	100%
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009-2013 5-Year American Community Survey				

Table 3: Bakersfield	Employment by	Industry (2006 - 2013)
----------------------	----------------------	------------------------

Persons Per Household Trends

In 2000, more than half of the Bakersfield population was in a two to four person household (62 percent). The larger households (five or more persons) were the largest proportionate gainers between 2000 and 2013 with a 48 percent increase, with the bigger increase being renter occupied (73 percent).

This is a reflection of high housing costs and foreclosure rates as well as tighter lending requirements during the housing market crash of which we are still feeling the effects. At the peak of the recession, Bakersfield ranked eighth nationally in Metropolitan Foreclosure Rates with over 47,000 Notices of Default filed with the Kern County Assessor between 2007 and 2010 (compared to the 38,000 that were filed in the ten years prior to 2007). Homebuyers, young and first-time in particular, experience difficulties qualifying for loans due to credit, unemployment or underemployment, and underwriting standards, thus swelling the renter numbers. California's rate of homeownership has declined since the 2008 Great Recession, a drop of over seven percentage points since its peak year of 2006.

							2000	-2013
	2000	% of Total	2010	% of Total	2013	% of Total	Net Change	% Change
Total:	83,441		111,132		109,932			
Owner occupied:	50,502	61%	66,323	60%	63,549	58%	13,047	26%
1 person	8,420	10%	10,699	10%	10,691	10%	2,271	27%
2 - 4 persons	33,646	40%	42,676	38%	41,692	38%	8,046	24%
5+ persons	8,436	10%	12,948	12%	11,166	10%	2,730	32%
Renter occupied:	32,939	39%	44,809	40%	46,383	42%	13,444	41%
1 person	9,542	11%	11,101	10%	10,976	10%	1,434	15%
2 - 4 persons	18,322	22%	24,220	22%	26,644	24%	8,322	45%
5+ persons	5,075	6%	9,488	9%	8,763	8%	3,688	73%
Source: 2000 & 2010 Census, 2009-2013 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates								

Table 4: Bakersfield Persons per Household Trends (2000 – 2013)

Household Size

The City of Bakersfield average household size has consistently remained smaller than Kern County and has remained higher than the State since 2000. At the same time, the State average household size has consistently remained less than the County. For example, the City average household size was 3.10 persons per household in 2010, while the County average household size was 3.15 and the State average household size was 2.90. The average household size in the City, County and State has been increasing since 1990, indicating a need for housing units with a larger number of bedrooms or new housing for millennials still living with parents and seniors living with adult children. Foreclosures have also caused an increase in more than one family living in a household.



Figure 1: Average Household Size (1990 to 2013)

Blanusa, Danilo

From:	Jason Meadors <jmeadors@bakersfieldcity.us></jmeadors@bakersfieldcity.us>				
Sent:	Tuesday, September 01, 2015 3:14 PM				
To:	Salzano, Tom; Blanusa, Danilo; Martin Ortiz				
Cc:	Bolzowski, Michael R.; Keck, Jonathan; Valles, Rudy; Kelly, Tamara				
Subject:	RE: Cal Water Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) growth forecast for your review -				
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up				
Flag Status:	Flagged				

Tom,

Thanks for the additional information. I think that Martin Ortiz with City Planning, or someone in his department, would be better informed about growth projections for commercial and industrial water users within the City limits. I have noticed many new commercial developments in our water service area, but I do not know the growth rate. Also, I would think that more development occurs in the City's domestic water service area than in Cal Water's, so your assumptions are probably reasonable.

Thanks,

Jason Meadors

Water Resources Director City of Bakersfield (661) 326-3715

From: Salzano, Tom [mailto:TSalzano@calwater.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 01, 2015 2:03 PM
To: Jason Meadors; Blanusa, Danilo; Martin Ortiz
Cc: Bolzowski, Michael R.; Keck, Jonathan; Valles, Rudy; Kelly, Tamara
Subject: RE: Cal Water Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) growth forecast for your review - Bakersfield District

Jason,

I want to thank you for your review of our data and your comments regarding growth in our Bakersfield District. I wanted to respond to your comment made in your email below that we are not factoring in growth in the commercial and industrial sectors in our service area. It is true that we put a bit more focus on population and housing growth, which is associated with the two residential sectors (single family and multifamily) because represent 83% of all our services and 65% of our total water demand. However, I want to point out that on the second page of the attachment (Attachment A, sheet 2 of 2) we include a table that shows the growth rates we are using for each customer class or sector. We are including a growth rate for commercial of 0.44% per year, which takes us from the current (2015) service count of 6,320 to count 7,060 in 2040. This is equal to our ten year average growth for that category. I am interested in your input as to whether this is sufficient growth for the community. We could adjust the rate if a high growth rate is warranted.

Yes it is true that we are showing zero growth for the industrial sector, but our experience has been one of steady decline in this sector since 1980 so to fix it as not declining further, as we have, is in some ways a conservative approach to demand estimating. But, here too as someone who knows the city better than I do,

is this zero growth rate appropriate or should we anticipate an economic turn-around in this sector, or should we show the decline continuing?

We really do appreciate your input on these items. And, if you wish to discuss these directly please feel free to contact me at (408) 367-8340 or by email at <u>tsalzano@calwater.com</u>.

Thank you very much for you time spent on this for us.

Tom Thomas a. Salzano

Water Resource Planning Supervisor California Water Service 1720 North First Street, San Jose, CA 95112-4598 (408) 367-8340 tsalzano@calwater.com

From: Jason Meadors [mailto:jmeadors@bakersfieldcity.us]
Sent: Tuesday, September 01, 2015 1:19 PM
To: Blanusa, Danilo; Martin Ortiz
Cc: Salzano, Tom; Bolzowski, Michael R.; Keck, Jonathan; Valles, Rudy; Kelly, Tamara
Subject: RE: Cal Water Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) growth forecast for your review - Bakersfield District

Danilo,

I have reviewed your email and table and graphs and do not have any comments regarding population growth factors for Cal Water's domestic water service area.

The only comment I would have is that it is surprising that you are not factoring in growth of the commercial and industrial sectors. In the City's domestic water service area we have seen substantial growth in the commercial sector during recent years.

Martin Ortiz with City Planning may have additional comments.

Thank you,

Jason Meadors

Water Resources Director City of Bakersfield (661) 326-3715

From: Blanusa, Danilo [mailto:dblanusa@calwater.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2015 3:53 PM
To: Jason Meadors; Martin Ortiz
Cc: Salzano, Tom; Bolzowski, Michael R.; Keck, Jonathan; Valles, Rudy; Kelly, Tamara
Subject: Cal Water Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) growth forecast for your review - Bakersfield District

Dear Mr. Meadors and Mr. Ortiz,

Pursuant to California Water Code, Division 6, Part 2.6, Sections 10610 through 10656, California Water Service is in the process of preparing the required 2015 update of our Urban Water Management Plans. These plans are required to be updated every five (5) years for each of our services areas (Districts). As you know our Bakersfield District provides water service to the City of Bakersfield.

The purpose of this communication is to solicit your assistance in reviewing and advising us with respect to one of the key elements of the plan, which is the development of a growth forecast for our district. This growth forecast is conducted based on growth in each customer service classification applicable to a particular district, which typically include:

- Single family residential
- Multi-family residential
- Commercial
- Industrial
- Government (City or County parks, median strips, landscaping and schools)
- Dedicated Irrigation (rare)
- Other (temporary construction meters)

The forecasted growth rates are combined with a demand per service factor applicable to each customer class to determine the future water demands for the district. These growth factors are adjustable and we want to review them with you so that we are consistent with anticipated growth that your planning efforts forecast. If adjustments are necessary we can do them now and avoid conflicts and confusion later in this process.

Some specific information regarding our approach to forecasting customer service growth is detailed as follows:

- **Residential** Typically two residential customer service categories represent the vast majority of the service counts as well as subsequent water sales or demand in our districts. Cal Water considers both single family and multi-family residential services independently as individual classes, but combines them together in order to assess population growth and housing unit growth. While we use historical trends in the establishment for the growth rates for these two customer classes, we also analyze census data for population and housing factors and compare our forecast results for these two parameters with available data from City General Plans, as well as County Economic Forecast data and Regional government association forecasts as a reality or appropriateness check of our results.
- **Commercial & Industrial** Historical trend is a key influence in this customer class, however where we have seen negative trends in recent years for these categories due to the economic downturn, we typically employ either a zero rate of growth or a small, reasonable positive rate of growth. We have also undertaken during the last ten years some reassessment of customer service classifications that has resulted in reallocation of some customer service accounts between various classes. This reallocation, which included commercial, industrial, multi-family residential and in some cases government services, has made the analysis of growth a bit more difficult.
- **Government** Growth trends are generally parallel to that of the residential sector, so we verify that our rate of grow is not dramatically out-of-sequence with the overall community.
- **Other** The use of temporary-assigned construction meters varies considerably from year to year, and can represent considerable water demand. In this case, we select a growth rate that is stable, yet reflects the overall growth of the community.

We have included with this communication a set of tables and graphs (see attachment) that illustrate the parameters that influence the growth forecast as currently set up for this district. These include:

A. The historical and projected service data in both graph and table form

- B. The 2000 and 2010 Census data for the districts service area
- C. Housing projection chart comparing Cal Water's forecast (always in red) with those from other organizations
- D. Population projection chart comparing Cal Water's forecast (always in red) with those from other organizations
- E. Table of population and housing values along with multi-family residential unit density and persons per housing unit density that are employed in this forecast effort.

Please note that the 2015 data, which we need to include in our finished forecast, is not yet final, and some minor fluctuation of these values is possible.

Please examine these documents to determine if you concur with our forecasted housing and population numbers. It would be greatly appreciated if you could, by **September 11, 2015**, provide us with an indication of your support or in the case you do not agree with our forecast a reason why and the appropriate rate or growth pattern that we should employ. If I do not hear back from you by the end of business (EOB) on the above date I will assume that you concur with our forecast.

If you need a more detailed explanation of these numbers or want to review them with us please feel free to contact me at (408) 367-8340 or by email at <u>tsalzano@calwater.com</u>.

Thank you for your assistance in this effort.

Respectfully,

Thomas a. Salyano

Thomas A. Salzano Water Resource Planning Supervisor

Danilo Blanusa, P.E.

Senior Engineer CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE 408-367-8387



Quality. Service. Value. calwater.com

This e-mail and any of its attachments may contain California Water Service Group proprietary information and is confidential. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail and then deleting it from your system.

This e-mail and any of its attachments may contain California Water Service Group proprietary information and is confidential. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this e-mail and then deleting it from your system.

Appendix C: Correspondences

• UWMP Public Draft Comments

Note: There were no public comments received on the UWMP Public Draft.